

# Resources & Public Realm Scrutiny Committee 5 November 2024

# Report from the Corporate Director of Finance and Resources

Lead Member – Deputy Leader & Cabinet Member for Finance & Resources (Councillor Mili Patel)

# **Quarter 2 Financial Forecast 2024/25**

Wards Affected:	All		
Key or Non-Key Decision:	Non Applicable		
Open or Part/Fully Exempt: (If exempt, please highlight relevant paragraph of Part 1, Schedule 12A of 1972 Local Government Act)	Open		
List of Appendices:	Two: Appendix A: Savings Delivery Tracker Appendix B: Prudential Indicators		
Background Papers:	N/A		
Contact Officer(s): (Name, Title, Contact Details)	Minesh Patel, Corporate Director of Finance & Resources Tel: 020 8937 4043 Email: Minesh.Patel@Brent.gov.uk		

#### 1.1 Executive Summary

- 1.2 This report sets out the financial forecast for the General Fund revenue budget, the Housing Revenue Account, the Dedicated Schools Grant and the Capital Programme, as at Quarter 2 2024/25.
- 1.3 The Council's revised General Fund revenue budget for 2024/25 is £386.7m. There is a forecast overspend of £14.4m against the revenue budget at quarter two. If sustained until the year end, this would require a transfer from unallocated reserves. Equally, any overspend not dealt with in 2024/25 could potentially carry over into following year, therefore increasing the requirement for further savings whilst at the same time depleting the Council's reserves. The Council is taking a number of mitigating actions,

including continuing to implement spending controls, in order to contain identified pressures. The current budget also reflects £8m of savings that are set out in Appendix A.

1.4 There are also potential budget pressures being reported within the Housing Revenue Account as a result of considerable savings being required following rent limitations imposed by central government in previous years and increased demand and costs associated with repairs. Further details are set out in section 5. The Dedicated Schools Grant is reporting a £0.4m overspend, however there remains a legacy deficit of £15.1m that presents a significant risk. Further details are set out in section 4. There is significant risk within the delivery of the Capital Programme due to the complex nature of the projects within it which may result in slippage. Further details can be found in section 6.

1.5 The tables below show the forecast position against budget for the General Fund, Dedicated Schools Grant and Housing Revenue Account.

	Budget	Forecast	Overspend / (Underspend)
	£m	£m	£m
Community, Health and Wellbeing	156.3	154.2	(2.1)
Children and Young People	91.0	90.5	(0.5)
Neighbourhoods and Regeneration	29.4	28.4	(1.0)
Law and Governance	13.8	13.4	(0.4)
Finance and Resources	17.2	16.6	(0.6)
Partnerships, Housing and Resident Services	38.8	52.8	14.0
Subtotal Service Area Budgets	346.5	355.9	9.4
Central Budgets	40.2	45.2	5.0
Total Budget Requirement	386.7	401.1	14.4
Funding	(386.7)	(386.7)	0.0
Grand Total General Fund Budgets	0.0	14.4	14.4
DSG Funded Activity	0.0	0.4	0.4
Housing Revenue Account (HRA)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Net Total*	0.0	14.8	14.8**

DSG gross income and expenditure					
	Budget Forecast Overspend (Underspend)				
	£m	£m			
DSG					
Income	(235.9)	(235.9)	0.0		
Expenditure	235.9	236.3	0.4		
Total	0.0	0.4	0.4		

HRA gross income and expenditure						
	Budget Forecast Overspend/ (Underspend)					
	£m £m £m					
HRA						
Income	(65.9)	(65.9)	0.0			
Expenditure	65.9	65.9	0.0			
Total	0.0	0.0	0.0			

The table below shows the current forecast against the revised budget for 1.6 the Capital Programme for 2024/25.

Directorate	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Current Forecast	FY Variance		
				£m	£m	£m
	£m	£m	£m	(Underspend) /Overspend	(Slippage) / Brought Forward	Variance Total
Corporate Landlord	14.2	17.2	16.2	(0.1)	(8.0)	(0.9)
Housing GF	59.8	62.7	61.4	0.6	(1.9)	(1.3)
Housing HRA	57.3	53.7	52.2	1.9	(3.4)	(1.5)
PRS I4B	46.3	46.2	20.5	0.0	(25.7)	(25.7)
Public Realm	23.8	25.4	24.5	0.5	(1.4)	(0.9)
Regeneration	64.7	65.8	64.3	(0.6)	(1.0)	(1.4)
Schools	24.7	25.5	20.1	(0.4)	(5.0)	(5.4)
South Kilburn	27.2	33.4	33.7	0.3	0.0	0.3
St Raphael's	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	318.3	330.4	293.5	2.2	(39.3)	(36.9)

<sup>\*</sup>DSG and HRA budgets have been presented as net figures in the table above. Gross income and expenditure budgets for the DSG and HRA are shown below.
\*\*In-year forecasts are inclusive of the in-year savings as discussed in section 1.16

#### **Current Economic Environment**

- 1.7 The current economic environment is volatile and uncertain with high interest rates designed to curb high inflation seen since 2021. This particularly impacted energy costs and exacerbated the cost-of-living crisis.
- 1.8 Consumer Price Index (CPI) inflation returned to the Bank of England target of 2% in May 2024, for the first time since July 2021, before rising slightly again to 2.2%. In its March 2024 economic and fiscal Outlook report, the Office for Budget Responsibility forecast that inflation will average at 2.2% this year and 1.5% in 2025 before gradually returning to the Bank of England target level of 2% in 2028.
- 1.9 However, it is important to note that this lower level of inflation is now being applied to prices that are more than 20% higher on average than they were three years ago, more than triple the increase that would have occurred if inflation had remained at the target. This continues to make the economic environment challenging for Brent Council and its residents and businesses.
- 1.10 On 31 July 2024, at the meeting of the Monetary Policy Committee, The Bank of England reduced the base interest rate by 0.25 percentage points to 5.0%. This was the first reduction in the base rate since 14 consecutive increases between December 2021 and August 2023. Future policy decisions are dependent upon UK economic data with the Bank monitoring both inflation and employment. These factors create a challenging environment for the Council to plan its future resourcing requirements.

#### **Maintaining Financial Control**

- 1.11 Local government is facing the most challenging financial environment for many decades. Many councils are overspending and depleting their reserves, most are experiencing the adverse effects of a prolonged period of high inflation, high interest rates and significant increases in demand due to demographic changes. Some are even declaring bankruptcy by issuing Section 114 notices. Concerns about future levels of government funding are widespread. Against this backdrop, Brent has maintained a strong position in terms of financial resilience and sustainability with a good track record of delivering savings and balancing the overall budget. However, in 2023/24 the Council overspent its revenue budget by £14m and is forecast to overspend again in 2024/25.
- 1.12 Despite the considerable efforts of the Council to manage its position, the operating environment and wider economic context continues to be volatile with small changes in demand disproportionately materialising in large financial pressures. These are particularly in Children's social care and Adult

social care packages in terms of volumes and complexities, and temporary accommodation volumes, costs of provision and loss of Housing Benefit subsidy from central government. The Council is also dealing with the impact of rising costs due to continued high level of provider inflationary pressures, and the impact of the cost-of-living crisis which also affects important income streams of the Council.

- 1.13 Since the Quarter 1 forecast report was presented to Cabinet in July 2024, the financial position has worsened. The forecast overspend in the Housing service, which is experiencing high levels of demand due to a rise in homelessness and a reduction in the supply of suitable temporary accommodation, has increased from £10m to £15m. Additionally, a new pressure of £5m has been identified against 'Supported Exempt Accommodation'. These pressures are being partially offset by £6m of in year savings required to delivered across other services, but have resulted in another forecast £14m overspend in 2024/25. Section 3.6.6 of this report sets out the Council's strategy in dealing with the significant increase in the cost of providing temporary accommodation for those homeless people to whom the Council owe a legal duty.
- 1.14 The introduction of spending controls and the Budget Assurance Panel in 2023 helped to facilitate better grip of the Council's financial position and stabilise the in year overspend. This introduced a range of measures including proactive vacancy management, directorate led targeted non-essential spending control including agency and interim spend, alongside department led management action plans reflecting other actions being undertaken. These sensible, proactive and prudent measures are estimated to have led to cost avoidance of c£3m across the Council and are providing more assurance over the Council's spending decisions.
- 1.15 While Brent is not currently in the financial situation of those Councils that have recently issued, or threatened to issue, a Section 114 notice (legally required when the council cannot balance its budget, unlike the NHS and other parts of the public sector, councils are not allowed to carry a deficit) all efforts must be focused on positively changing the financial position. For Brent, the current forecast overspend represents approximately 4% of our net revenue budget. This is close to the level of 5% that the Council decided should be held as generally usable reserves at the time of setting the 2024/25 budget and without the in year savings required to be delivered by services, this threshold would have been reached.
- 1.16 Given the current forecasted overspend, these spending controls will continue throughout 2024/25. However, it is clear that further actions are now necessary, including a new requirement for services to deliver £10m of in year savings in addition to the £8m of savings already being delivered during 2024/25. Identifying and delivering this level of additional savings will be a

significant challenge for the Council's services during the coming months, but this is considered to be a necessary step to ensure that the Council's budget can be returned to a sustainable position. The Q2 forecast reflects where departments are in the identification and delivery of these new in year savings targets, which will continue to focus on rigorous spending controls, reviewing staffing levels, increasing income, as well as using new technology to transform services and reduce overheads. Council officers and members will continue to work hard to minimise the impact on residents and provide the highest possible quality of service within the current resource constraints.

1.17 A further update on the overall financial position over the medium term will be provided in the draft budget for 2025/26, which will be brought to Cabinet in November 2024.

# 2.0 Recommendation(s)

- 2.1 That the Resources & Public Realm Scrutiny Committee note the new grant funding received in year, the overall financial position and the actions being taken to manage the issues arising.
- 2.2 That the Resources & Public Realm Scrutiny Committee note the savings delivery tracker in Appendix A.
- 2.3 That the Resources & Public Realm Scrutiny Committee note the prudential indicators for treasury management in Appendix B.

#### 3.0 Revenue Detail

#### 3.1 Community, Health and Wellbeing

Community, Health and Wellbeing	Budget (£m)	Forecast (£m)	Overspend / (Underspend) (£m)
Adult Social Care	84.7	82.1	(2.6)
Strategic Commissioning & Capacity Building	45.8	45.9	0.1
Public Health	24.2	24.2	0.0
Leisure	1.5	1.9	0.4
Integrated Health Partnerships	0.1	0.1	0.0
Total	156.3	154.2	(2.1)

#### **Summary**

3.1.1 The Community, Health and Wellbeing (CHW) department is currently forecasting an overall underspend of (£2.1m), which is a movement from the

Quarter 1 breakeven position mainly due to the requirement to identify in year savings currently forecast to be (£2.6m). The underspend is mitigating a £0.4m pressure against the Leisure budgets arising from the Bridge Park Leisure Centre and Vale Farm and a slippage against saving CHW01 of £0.1m against technology enabled care.

- 3.1.2 As set out in section 1.16, the directorate needs to identify further in year savings of c£3.9m in order to contribute to the overall £10m in year savings target. At this stage it is anticipated that some of the savings that were previously agreed to be delivered in 2025/26, can be brought forward and delivered early. In addition, the impact of the changes to the charging policy that was implemented earlier this year has been factored into the forecast.
- 3.1.3 The section below provides further details of the areas and risks which may further impact on the forecast position going forward.

#### Risks and uncertainties

- 3.1.4 There remains a number of risks and uncertainties which could impact on the budgets within the CHW department. These include the following:
- 3.1.5 There is a forecast pressure of £0.1m against Strategic Commissioning budgets. This is due to the slippage of a £0.1m saving which was expected to be generated through technology enabled care (CHW01). It is unlikely this will materialise into realisable savings this year as the project is still in the scoping phase.
- 3.1.6 Demographic changes could put pressure on existing systems and budgets if the trend of rising number of clients using social care services in Brent continues. Since the 31 March 2024, the average number of weekly service users has increased by 231. This is an increase of 5%, an average of 1% per month. If this trend continues, there could be 4,878 service users by March 2025. The trend of client numbers will be monitored so that any pressures can be identified and managed. In addition to demand pressures, the average weekly cost has increased by 2% since the 31 March 2024. This combination of increased demographic and inflationary pressures, above which has already been budgeted for, could add to the existing budget pressure.
- 3.1.7 Regarding complex cases, it remains a challenge that an increasing number of clients are presenting with more complex health and social care needs, requiring additional resources and more specialised staff. There is a risk of additional costs due to difficulties in managing complex cases and the need for detailed assessments and personalised care plans, such as one-to-one support in a residential / nursing placement which costs £1,299/ £1,177 per week respectively.

- 3.1.8 The risk remains that nationally, the adult social care sector has consistently faced challenges with recruitment and retention, with high staff turnover and vacancy rates. Also, the shortage of qualified staff can have detrimental effects on the care provided to adult service users and added stress on existing staff. The national shortage of care workers has changed the workforce model across social care leading to a reliance on agency staff that are more costly compared to permanent staff. Management continues to focus on agency to permanent conversions as part of its workforce planning strategy and to maintain stability for the clients.
- 3.1.9 Supporting the Care Market could also place pressures on the budget as there are risks related to the sustainability of private care providers and the need to ensure the care market has sufficient capacity to meet demand. There is also the need to support care providers through fair contracts and financial assistance to ensure continuity of services and care quality.
- 3.1.10 To manage demand, the service continues to focus on prevention through continuing work with the Partnerships, Housing and Resident Services directorate, providing advice and ensuring that only those who are eligible access council funded services, including ensuring appropriate referrals to the NHS for Continuing Health Care and appropriate reviews of aftercare provision under Section 117 of the Mental Health Act 1983. The valuable role of carers is also recognised, and the Directorate is working hard to ensure that carers are well supported. Brent's commitment to carers is outlined in the new co-produced carers strategy and the services focus on strength-based practice to promote independence and aid people to remain supported within their community.
- 3.1.11 There is currently a review of the 'Better Care Fund' being undertaken by the North West London Integrated Care System (ICS) with the aim to have clear sight of all spend, activity & impact of BCF schemes in order to assess their value in achieving whole system aims, and to develop consistency across ICS. There is therefore a risk that if a decision is made to revise commissioned services this could mean some in year changes during 24/25. A revised BCF which takes full account of the outcomes of the review will be agreed by 30 September 2024 and in place for April 2025 following due governance processes including approval by Health & Wellbeing Boards (both approval of the outcomes of the review and the proposed allocations) and consideration through Councils' Scrutiny process. The current size of Brent's BCF, including Local Authority & Health funding, for 2024/25 is £55m.

#### **Public Health**

- 3.1.12 The Public Health grant is currently forecast to breakeven. Contracts have been affected by the rising levels of inflation. Most public health services are commissioned from the NHS where the national Agenda for Change (AfC) and medical pay awards have significantly outstripped uplifts in the Public Health grant. While the Department of Health and Social Care (DHSC) has allocated an additional AfC supplement of £0.3m to help fund these pressures, there remains a shortfall against the actual costs which will be incurred. In previous years, the council has managed to keep in year expenditure below the total grant, allowing the service to maintain a reserve. This was a prudent response to the year-on-year decline in the real-time reduction in the value of grant and to prior in year reductions. Funding from the reserve will be drawn down to manage these pressures and continue to deliver vital services.
- 3.1.13 Public health spend activity against the additional grants, Supplementary Substance Misuse Treatment and Recovery Grant (SSMTRG), Rough Sleepers Drug and Alcohol Treatment Grant (RSDATG), Stop Smoking Grant and the Start for Life Grants, are all on track and in line with the outcomes that have been set out within the respective guidelines. Funding for these grants, except for the Stop Smoking grant, is not currently guaranteed beyond 2024/25. The SSMTRG and RSDATG have allowed significant expansion of the local treatment offer with improved outcomes. While OHID has given positive indications of at least one more year of funding, the service is developing a contingency plan to utilise the public health reserve to maintain the outcomes in 2025/26.
- 3.1.14 Sexual Health services are under increasing pressure to deliver due to the increasing Sexually Transmitted infection (STI) rates including emerging infections and increased clinical complexity including antimicrobial resistance. The service is exploring with providers the potential to expand the online offer to meet this increased need at the lowest cost.

#### Leisure

- 3.1.15 The Leisure service relies on income generation, and any shortfall in expected demand, or unexpected increases in cost, could lead to financial difficulties. There is currently a forecast pressure of £0.4m in 2024/25 largely as a result of pressures at Bridge Park Community Leisure Centre of £0.2m and Vale Farm £0.2m. The council is working to identify a more sustainable approach to managing income fluctuations and funding the rising costs of operating the service.
- 3.1.16 The increasing running and maintenance at Bridge Park Community Leisure Centre is leading to pressures on the budget. Although the fees for some services have increased, it is not sufficient to cover the rising costs of staffing,

- cleaning, security, repairs and maintenance. The service is trying to mitigate this by identifying ways to increase income through renting office space and reduce costs through effective contract negotiations.
- 3.1.17 The Leisure service provision at Vale Farm Sports Centre is delivered through a Tri Borough contract with Ealing, Brent and Harrow. In order to mitigate the impact of increasing costs of utilities and other goods and services, the council has offered a reduced management fee income, a pressure has therefore arisen due to the shortfall in income of £0.2m. Both Ealing and Harrow Borough are making a similar contribution to support the service.
- 3.1.18 The indexed unitary charges and rising utility costs at Willesden Sports Centre are placing considerable strain on the budget. A smoothing reserve of £1m is available to manage the fluctuating cash flows over time, but it is anticipated that most of this will be spent in 2024/25. The current forecast assumes a £0.8m drawdown from reserves. The council is collaborating with leisure providers to ensure the continued provision of an affordable service that does not depend on temporary reserves.

#### Savings and Slippages

3.1.19 The department has a savings target of £0.8m to deliver in 2024/25. Most of the savings are on track to be delivered, however, savings target CHW01 – technology enabled care (£0.1m) will be delayed as work required in determining an approach to deliver the saving is still underway.

#### **Summary of Key Assumptions**

3.1.20 The table below summaries the main assumptions made in the CHW forecast.

Key	Downside if	Upside if	Mitigations
Assumption	worse	better	
Adult Social	A 1% increase	A 1%	The Council is
Care providers'	over and	decrease on	working closely with
costs will	above	the cost of	the service
increase to the	budgeted	care	providers and
anticipated level	levels on the	packages	provides robust
in line with	cost of care	could result in	challenge of
inflationary	packages	a £1m	individual package
assumptions.	could result in	reduction in	costs based on
	a £1m	anticipated	evidence as part of
	pressure	costs.	placement reviews.
Client numbers	Additional	Client numbers	The Council are
and unit costs	budget	falling below	monitoring both
stay within the	pressures	those	client numbers and
forecast range	should there be	forecasted	package costs for
	clients beyond		each service. This

	those predicted in the forecast	would reduce costs	should allow for early identification of pressures so mitigating actions can be taken.
Leisure - Utility costs to stay within the expected forecast	Additional pressure on the leisure reserves	Reduced pressure on the reserves	Service is monitoring activity and pricing to ensure are updated and reflected in a timely and accurate way.

# 3.2 Children and Young People (CYP) (General Fund)

CYP Department	Budget (£m)	Forecast (£m)	Overspend / (Underspend) (£m)
Central Management	4.0	4.0	0.0
Early Help	5.5	5.4	(0.1)
Inclusion	2.9	2.9	0.0
Localities	24.2	23.9	(0.3)
Looked After Children and Permanency	7.4	7.3	(0.1)
Forward Planning, Performance & Partnerships	44.7	44.7	0.0
Safeguarding and Quality Assurance	2.2	2.2	0.0
Setting and School Effectiveness	0.1	0.1	0.0
Total	91.0	90.5	(0.5)

#### Summary

- 3.2.1 The CYP directorate is forecasting an underspend of £0.5m at the end of Quarter 2. However, there are risks and uncertainties discussed below which may impact this position going forward.
- 3.2.2 The challenges typically faced by the directorate are largely due to rising demand and volatility of care packages and placement costs; recruitment and retention challenges which have led to a reliance on qualified social worker agency staff; increasing number of children with complex needs requiring Education, Health and Care Plans (EHCP) and the impact of rising inflation driving increased costs of services. Therefore, ongoing actions are being undertaken by the directorate to control spend within the funding envelope available.

3.2.3 The budget for SEN Transport transferred to CYP at the beginning of the financial year. The budget also covers transport services for adults with social care needs. Pressures are expected mainly from the taxi service to transport children and young people with SEN who cannot be transported by more cost-effective modes of transport. A new Travel Assistance Policy for CYP aged 0-25 in Education was approved by Cabinet in June 2024 and will begin to be implemented this financial year. However, the financial impact of the new policy is unlikely to be seen until 2025/26 onwards. The department is reviewing the service to identify areas where in year savings could be achieved against this budget.

#### **Forecast**

- 3.2.4 The Forward Planning, Performance and Partnership service demand led budgets have seen the following increases in this financial year:
  - On average, a 2% increase in residential placement prices, although numbers have remained stable this year with 32 placements. On the other hand, there has been a 13% decrease in the number of CYP children placed in independent fostering agencies (IFAs) compared to the last financial year, in line with the fall in the number of Looked After Children.
  - The semi-independent provision supporting care leavers budget, has seen an overall 1.63% increase in numbers compared to 2023/24. Currently around 18% of the care leavers in semi-independent provision are Unaccompanied Asylum-Seeking Children (UASC).
  - The forecast is also dependent on income from the Home Office for UASC and leaving care grants, contributions from health and housing benefits income.
- 3.2.5 The Localities service which includes the Children with Disabilities (CWD) demand led care packages budgets i.e. Direct Payments, Care at Home, Residential and Day Services has seen the number of supported clients rise by 5% since March 2024. A cost driver in this area is the increasing number of Education, Health, and Care Plans (EHCPs) which is also affecting the High Needs Block of the Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG). Care packages are also seeing significant price increases including 5% increases in Care at Home and 10% in Direct Payments. The Localities and the Looked After Children and Permanency services are also reliant on the need to use agency social workers to cover vacant positions.
- 3.2.6 To manage these pressures, a CYP Strategic Placements Commissioning Group chaired by the Corporate Director for CYP has been put in place to oversee the development of two workstreams:

- Growing Brent's in-house foster care provision by developing a new and competitive package for in-house carers and reducing the requirement to use more expensive Independent Fostering Agencies (IFAs).
- Promoting greater independence for care-experienced young people thereby reducing placement spend and the number of care-experienced young people in paid for accommodation through a system-wide approach that supports young people transitioning to independence (e.g., working with the Housing department to enable tenancy sustainment, ensuring care leavers claim Housing Benefit when entitled with the aim of reducing the impact on the placements' budgets).
- 3.2.7 CYP management continue to take steps to improve recruitment and retention of social workers including several recruitment drives, a weekly Establishment Board created to scrutinise all agency recruitment, and corresponding activity to achieve permanency through conversations with agency staff to convert to permanent roles.

#### Risks and Uncertainties

- 3.2.8 The main risks and uncertainties impacting on the CYP directorate stem from inflationary pressures resulting in increased costs from private providers of fostering, semi-independent and residential accommodation for looked after children.
- 3.2.9 The SEN transport service is a demand-led budget and increases in the number of children needing Education, Health and Care Plans (EHCPs) could put additional pressures on this budget. Furthermore, there could be inflationary and market pressures which could impact on taxis, fuel and other running costs and thereby exacerbating the pressure to achieve the expected savings for this financial year.
- 3.2.10 Recruitment and retention of skilled and experienced social work staff continues to be a risk in Localities and Looked After Children, and Permanency (LAC&P) services with agency staff occupying up to 50% of the workforce in some teams.
- 3.2.11 The volatility surrounding the placements budget for looked after children (LAC) is a key challenge. If demand for residential placements increases, this will increase the financial pressure as an individual high cost residential or secure placement can cost over £0.5m per annum.
- 3.2.12 Ofsted has introduced regulation of the 16/17-year-old placement market. This new approach, alongside a testing inspection framework for children's residential homes may cause a risk of a reduction in the number of homes available from providers, causing higher demand and higher costs for local authorities competing for the same places. In response, Brent has been successful in a DfE bid to build and run a children's home, which will help

- manage costs and improve placement sufficiency. The home is expected to open in April/May 2025.
- 3.2.13 The Children with Disabilities budget within the Localities service funds the social care cost element for many children with an EHCP. There remains a risk that further increases in EHCPs will put additional pressure on the care packages budgets in this area and impact on staffing costs. The average cost of supporting a new CWD client can range between £33K to £8K (Direct Payment). These are average costs, some of the most expensive packages can be substantially higher.
- 3.2.14 A lack of full, agreed cost sharing for children's care packages at an Integrated Care Board level for CYP Placements and Children with Disabilities remain a high risk for the department, particularly in events where placement charges are disputed.
- 3.2.15 The forecast position is also dependent on estimated income from the Home Office for UASC and Care leavers (£2.8M) and health contributions from the ICB (£1.66m). Any major fluctuations against these income streams could impact on the outturn position.
- 3.2.16 Currently young people are being successfully prepared for independence to have their own tenancy. However, the current housing crisis is placing significant pressure on the Council's housing stock. This means young people have to wait for a social tenancy to become available during which time they remain in accommodation funded from CYP budgets. To mitigate this, a Brent Shared House model is being tested which will result in lower accommodation costs compared to relatively higher average placement costs.
- 3.2.17 Mitigating factors include the development of the new in-house children's home which is expected to open later in this financial year and reduce the need to use costly independent providers. Brent is part of a pan-London vehicle to ensure greater sufficiency of secure welfare residential placements which will be operational in 2025.
- 3.2.18 The Placements Commissioning Strategic Group has focused on two workstreams aimed at reducing financial pressures: "Growing the In-house Fostering Service" (To increase the number of in-house Brent Foster Carers to reduce the reliance on higher cost IFAs) and "Promoting Care Leavers Independence" (A review of Brent's support for care leavers to promote independence to achieve financial savings). A key risk is the pipeline of care leavers waiting for permanent secured tenancy, in line with the Council's agreed offer to care leavers.

#### Savings and Slippages

3.2.19 The directorate has a £1.9m savings target to deliver in 2024/25. There are also savings of £1.2m against the SEND Transport budget, however, this has

now been deferred to 2025/26 following the implementation of the new Travel Assistance Policy.

- 3.2.20 There are savings from reductions in care packages of £0.86m, staffing efficiencies of £0.51m, £0.376m arising from contract and other miscellaneous items and £0.2m from "service transformation/digital" savings. The digital savings remain a risk as not all of the £0.2m has yet been identified. Implementation of changes will involve support as part of the Council's wider Digital Programme. The rest of the savings are on track to be delivered, and any risk of slippage will be managed by the department.
- 3.2.21 As part of the requirement to deliver £3m in year savings to address the challenging financial situation the Council is facing, the directorate has reviewed some of the savings previously planned for 2025/26 with the potential to bring these forward into the current financial year. As of July 2024, the directorate has identified c£0.5m worth of savings that could be achieved, and these have been included in the reported forecast. Identifying and delivering the full level of additional savings will be a significant challenge for the directorate, given the inherent pressures against the CYP budgets, particularly placements which tend to be costly, volatile and unpredictable. Theoretically, to find the required balance of £2.5m by the end of the financial year, given the limited time to implement any structural changes, would require a major reduction in agency staff and placements spend. However, this would increase caseloads for remaining staff and significantly increase a number of risks, many related to safeguarding, which would be extremely challenging for the directorate to manage effectively. The directorate is scrutinising all aspects of discretionary spend to identify where greater impact can be seen to deliver the total £3m in year savings in this financial year.

#### **Summary of Key Assumptions**

3.2.22 The table below summaries the main assumptions made in the CYP forecast.

Key	Downside if	Upside if better	Mitigations
Assumption	worse		
LAC and Care Leaver placements forecast assumes numbers of 799 FTEs and unit costs reflect current trends	An increase in the number of high cost residential or secure placements would place additional pressure on the budget. e.g., an	Increased step-down arrangements result in falling number of residential placements. A single stepdown from a residential placement to a	Ongoing review of packages for best outcomes and focus on stepdown arrangements to support children to transition from residential to foster and/or semi-independent placements.

	increase by 4 placements in year could cause an additional inyear pressure of c£0.5m (and £2m per annum).	semi- independent placement could reduce expenditure by c£0.2m in-year	Supporting the transition of care leavers to their own tenancies, to improve outcomes and independence. Innovative support and partnering with Health for CYP Mental Health and Wellbeing, among other preventative measures.
CWD placements forecast assume numbers of 684 and packages & unit costs reflect current trends	An increase in the number of packages would place pressure on the budget.		Rigorous gate keeping of care packages. Enhanced clawback arrangements.
Health contributions for CYP placements and Children with Disabilities (CWD) packages will be lower than the 2023/24 levels.	spend will not be mitigated by these contributions in proportion to the overall demand.	It will assist in mitigating overall net spend.	Maximising joint funding approaches with health to ensure contributions to placement costs where applicable. Targeted activity across ICS to ensure consistency in Continuing Health Care funding.
Mix of social work staff and caseloads in the Localities and LAC & Permanency service to include the use of agency staff at a similar level than 2023/24.	If increases of 15% during the year, there could be up to £0.4m additional spend on agency social work staff to manage the pressure.	There would be a reduction in the use of agency staff and the reduced caseloads could be attractive to social workers seeking permanent roles.	Continued management action to monitor caseloads across the service and review and manage social work resources and incentives. New/more targeted recruitment campaign
Assume numbers of SEN clients requiring transport do not	An increase in the numbers would place pressure on the budget	Reduction of the expected overspend	Transformation programme is reviewing options to achieve savings and avoid costs

increase		
significantly		

# 3.3 Neighbourhoods and Regeneration

Neighbourhoods and Regeneration	Budget (£m)	Forecast (£m)	Overspend / (Underspend) (£m)
Public Realm	26.3	25.5	(8.0)
Strategic Housing	1.9	1.9	0.0
Inclusive Regeneration & Employment	1.2	1.0	(0.2)
Total	29.4	28.4	(1.0)

# Summary

- 3.3.1 Neighbourhoods and Regeneration Directorate are currently forecasting an underspend of £1.0m at Q2. This underspend is a result of in year savings implemented to assist the Council with forecast budget pressures.
- 3.3.2 The service is expecting to generate more income from the parking service, based on forecast PCN income. Smaller savings come from bringing forward voluntary redundancy dates, and minor restructures related to this.

#### Risks and uncertainties

- 3.3.3 Within Inclusive Regeneration & Employment, pressures reported on income generated by Building Control and Planning remain.
- 3.3.4 Increased interest rates and material costs have seen cancellation or scaling back of some major developments, which has affected the ability of Building Control to generate the fee income that it has collected historically. The Health and Safety Executive (HSE) high-rise building regulations were introduced in October 2023, which meant a switch to a cost recovery basis for charging. In addition, almost all major project work is assigned to Local Authorities by the BSR (Building Safety Regulator) which has taken away the department's ability to bid for further work. The department is working to mitigate the effect of these factors.
- 3.3.5 Within Planning and Development Services, application and pre-application fee income has seen a decline in recent years. This reduction in income is not exclusive to Brent and has been the case across the country. This was managed in 2023/24 due to an implementation of fee increases in December 2023, which is currently estimated to be sufficient to prevent any pressures in 2024/25.

- 3.3.6 For Public Realm the new contractual arrangements for a number of key services such as parking and waste management, commenced in 2023/24. These continue to be closely monitored as the contracts become embedded.
- 3.3.7 Within the new waste contract there is close monitoring of recycling tonnage and market prices to ensure they align with the predicted figures for the contract. It was anticipated the new service would face some pressure in the first few years, and as such an earmarked reserve was created to smooth any financial impacts between years. £1m from the reserve was factored into the RLS finance model for 2024/25 and the remainder will be utilised by next

# **Savings and Slippages**

- 3.3.8 Savings for 2024/25 were set under the Council's previous structure. Following the senior leadership realignment, savings have been realigned and £1.2m of savings are attributable to the new Neighbourhoods and Regeneration department.
- 3.3.9 At Quarter 2 there are no reported issues, and all savings are expected to be delivered in year.
- 3.3.10 The table below summaries the main assumptions made in the N&R forecast.

#### **Summary of Key Assumptions**

Key Assumption	Downside if	Upside if	Mitigations
	worse	better	
That newly	If the savings	There are	Work has already
implemented in-	are not	significant	begun to achieve
year savings can	achieved, it will	pressures on	the savings. Some
be achieved. This	put pressure	the Council's	are
also assumes that	on the	overall budget,	straightforward,
the service would	Council's	so if further	such as holding
otherwise have	overall budget.	savings are	vacancies and
broken even.		achieved to will	therefore already
		go towards	achieved.
		alleviating this.	

Building Control is able to mitigate pressure on its income generation.	In 23/24 the department reported a £600k overspend, without mitigation the same could occur	The department is able to generate more income providing additional revenue to the Council	Cases arriving through the BSR are being closely monitored to ensure accurate forecasting. A reserve was created in 2023/24 to mitigate pressures whilst a longer term plan is implemented.
The new waste contract is still embedding and recycling performance will improve	The full reserve could be utilised and pressures spread into future years.	The reserve balance is not fully used and is available to repurpose and utilise for other pressures	The monthly data around tonnage, rejections and market prices for recycling are closely monitored. With ongoing work to improve recycling performance.

#### 3.4 Law and Governance

Law and Governance	Budget (£m)	Forecast (£m)	Overspend / (Underspend) (£m)
Legal Services	5.3	5.3	0.0
HR & Organisational Development	3.5	3.1	(0.4)
Democratic Services	4.1	4.1	0.0
Total	13.8	13.4	(0.4)

# **Summary**

- 3.4.1 The Law & Governance Directorate are forecasting to underspend by £0.4m in 2024/25.
- 3.4.2 The underspend is a result of implementing in year savings measures to contribute to the overall £10m in year savings target. These come largely from reviewing savings previously agreed for 2025/26, and where possible bringing these forward. This includes holding posts vacant, reducing subscriptions and releasing the corporate training budget that is not committed.

#### Risks and uncertainties

- 3.4.3 Although the department is forecasting a small underspend there are some pressures which present a risk to this forecast within Legal Services.
- 3.4.4 Legal services are currently using temporary staff to cover critical positions in the department which are vacant. There is a risk to the staffing budget if the department is unable to permanently recruit to these. A recruitment campaign is planned to mitigate this risk.
- 3.4.5 Additionally, the department is experiencing budget pressures due to increases in Barrister fees since the start of the year when a new Framework was procured through the London Boroughs Legal Alliance. The new rates are generally advantageous compared to rates available outside that framework but are higher than under the previous framework. The service is seeking to mitigate this by close controls over instruction of barristers and by increasing advocacy capacity internally.

#### Savings and Slippages

3.4.6 Under the new Council structure £0.3m of 2024/25 savings are attributable to Law & Governance. At Quarter 1 there are no reported issues, and all savings are expected to be delivered in year.

#### 3.5 Finance and Resources

Finance and Resources	Budget (£m)	Forecast (£m)	Overspend / (Underspend) (£m)
Finance	5.2	5.2	0.0
Organisational Assurance & Resilience	4.2	4.2	0.0
Shared Technology Services	0.0	0.0	0.0
Property & Assets	7.8	7.2	(0.6)
Total	17.2	16.6	(0.6)

#### **Summary**

- 3.5.1 Finance and Resources are currently forecasting to underspend by £0.6m in 2024/25.
- 3.5.2 Shared Technology Services has a net zero budget as it recharges all its costs to the member councils, including the Brent client service within Partnerships, Housing and Resident Services.

#### **Property & Assets**

- 3.5.3 Property and Assets are required to find new tenants to replace expired leases and vacant property to meet income forecast. The service is working to mitigate this risk by actively marketing these properties and working with agents where appropriate.
- 3.5.4 Based on the current forecasts of energy prices from the Council's energy supplier, which is based on the contracts they have already bought for future energy supply, Property & Assets are expecting energy costs to the council to fall in October. The current estimate is an underspend of £0.6m.

# Savings and Slippage

3.5.5 A total of £1.1m in savings is planned through staff reductions, digital transformation, leasing additional floors of the Civic Centre, streamlining Facilities Management services, maximising income potential from Council assets, and other departmental efficiencies.

# 3.6 Partnerships, Housing and Resident Services

Partnerships, Housing and Resident Services	Budget (£m)	Forecast (£m)	Overspend /(Underspend) (£m)
Communications Insight and Innovation	15.5	15.0	(0.5)
Communities & Partnerships	4.1	4.0	(0.1)
Housing Needs & Support	2.2	17.4	15.2
Private Housing Services	0.3	0.2	(0.1)
Residents Services	14.8	14.3	(0.5)
Housing & Resident Services Corporate Director	1.9	1.9	0.0
Total	38.8	52.8	14.0

# **Summary**

3.6.1 The Partnerships, Housing and Resident Services Directorate is forecasting a possible budgetary pressure for 2024/25, which could amount to £14.0m and is directly attributable to the high level of pressures in the Housing Needs and Support service, partially reduced by in year saving measures across other service areas within the department. In year saving measures amounting to £1.2m contribute to the overall £10m savings target and are largely achievable as a result of holding vacant posts, generating additional

income and reviewing opportunities to postpone expenditure plans in the short term.

- 3.6.2 The department continues to take a number of actions to support Brent residents and businesses and mitigate the impact of the cost-of-living crisis.
- 3.6.3 The Household Support Fund (HSF) grant has been extended for 12 months, until 31 March 2025, to support residents through the cost-of-living crisis. The initial grant, to be spent between April and September 2024, was £2.8m. The second tranche of funding, to be spent between October 2024 and March 2025 has not yet been announced but is estimated to be in the region of £2.3m. This is anticipated to be utilised to continue to support households receiving free school meals, Care Leavers, Disabled households on Housing Benefits, Credit Union and external partners. An amount will also be allocated for reactive support where residents who are in hardship can apply for help and support.
- In addition, a new model of support for Brent residents has been developed through the piloting of Cost-of-Living Outcome Based Review (OBR) projects and guided by a series of design principles. The approach proposes a single, joined-up model including development of a Community Wellbeing Programme aligned with a refreshed Resident Support Fund (RSF), designed to support residents to be more resilient in the longer term and align more closely with strategic priorities and related projects. The RSF supports the Council's approach towards addressing key community needs through strategic funding and partnerships, ensuring impactful and sustainable support for residents. £1m of recurring funding has been allocated in the Medium Term Financial Strategy to support this new model. The Council's original RSF, a discretionary support fund, has been in place since August 2020 to provide more support to residents and businesses with the cost of living.
- 3.6.5 The 2024/25 budget has been set considering assumptions around future demographic changes, the impacts of the cost-of-living crisis and inflationary trends. It is based on these assumptions and current trends that the Partnerships, Housing and Resident Services department is forecasting a break-even position for areas other than Housing Needs for 2024/25. However, there are risks and uncertainties that could impact the year's final financial outturn position.

#### Risks and uncertainties

3.6.6 Housing Needs and Support continues to be the most significant area of risk for the department. An extremely elevated level of demand for housing services and emergency accommodation is a national issue that is particularly acute in London. The Housing Needs Service in Brent has seen

a 12% increase in the number of homelessness presentations received in 2023/24 (7,300) compared to 2022/23. The total number of households in temporary accommodation in Brent has increased by 8% over the same period, and the number of families in emergency temporary accommodation has increased by 36%. As at the end of August 2024, the total number of homeless households living in emergency type accommodation had risen to 1062, with the service placing an average of 30 households every week. This is a 15% rise in comparison to April 2024 that had 922 households in stage 1 temporary accommodation.

- 3.6.7 London Councils conduct analysis and benchmarking of peers that help to gauge the situation in London. They revealed that Housing pressures are increasing rapidly compared to budgeted levels and that Councils' net deficit on homelessness service spending was projected to be £104.9m (54.2%) higher in 2023/24 than it was in 2022/23. Brent has seen a 259% increase in the deficit between 2022/23 and 2023/24. The gross total monthly TA spend was £65.2m in January 2024 up 28.1% on a year earlier. Across London, the total number of households in temporary accommodation has increased by 8.4% and the total number of families living in B&B accommodation rose by 70% when compared to the previous year. There was a 131% increase in families living in B&Bs beyond the six-week limit.
- 3.6.8 As these issues are London wide, the availability of B&B and Annexe accommodation is severely restricted across the capital, with many Councils being forced to book rooms in commercial hotels to meet statutory duties. This lack of availability of accommodation is resulting in having to use expensive providers and at times outside of Brent, which also causes significant financial pressures to the families placed there due to additional travel costs for children at schools in Brent.
- 3.6.9 The supply of settled TA properties, leased from private owners and used to move families out of B&B and Annexe accommodation has also contracted. This is due to fewer new properties being procured under Private Sector Leasing (PSL) schemes, and owners not renewing the lease for existing stock when the lease ends.
- 3.6.10 London Councils' findings suggest that London's PRS (Private Rented Sector) is affected by multiple factors driving a reduction in the availability of properties for rent. The demand for housing is continuing to increase while supply is reducing across the whole market. Greater reliance on the PRS to house lower income households and increasingly limited housing benefits are making accommodation less affordable and available. It appears to be supply side factors notably taxation, interest rate changes and uncertainties about future regulation that are reducing availability at the lower end of the PRS.

- 3.6.11 Homeless households placed in temporary accommodation who are entitled to it can claim housing benefit to go towards their housing costs. Local authorities pay the cost of that housing benefit upfront and then are paid back by the Department for Work and Pensions (DWP) through subsidy arrangements. Households receive the full housing benefit they are entitled to, however the amount the council can claim back is limited to 90% of the Local Housing Allowance (LHA) rates from 2011. This means that if the cost of the housing benefit claim is higher than those rates, the local authority loses money. The council is essentially bridging the gap between rent and the amount the council is allowed to recover in housing benefit subsidy from the Department of Works and Pensions. This means that if the weekly award of housing benefit for a placement in a bed and breakfast is higher than £170 on average per week, the council only receives £170, and the difference comes at a cost to the council. The average placement is in excess of £280 per week.
- 3.6.12 In 2023/24, the total subsidy loss for the Council amounted to £10.4m. In 2024/25, the Council is changing its approach to rental charges, which is expected to reduce the amount of lost subsidy, but these costs will be borne by the Housing Needs service.
- 3.6.13 A programme of works has been designed to focus on containing the projected overspend. Several workstreams covering affordability of Temporary Accommodation and new and alternative supply have been set up. Officers are actively looking to renegotiate prices and identify alternative arrangements that would allow the Council to move some of the most expensive cases with the aim of reducing costs for the Housing Needs service. Officers also continue to carefully consider and assess the needs of homelessness applications. In 2023/24, 49% of approaches were successfully prevented or relieved. At the end of August 2024, the average percentage of approaches that had successfully been prevented or relieved in 24/25 reached 53%.
- 3.6.14 In 2024/25, i4B is continuing its street property acquisition programme and had a target to acquire 25 homes. i4B is a housing company wholly owned by Brent Council set up to acquire, letting, and manage a portfolio of affordable, good quality private rented sector (PRS) properties. Properties are let to homeless families at Local Housing Allowance (LHA) levels. This enables the Council to either prevent or discharge its homelessness duty and therefore reduce temporary accommodation costs whilst also ensuring families have a secure and responsible landlord. The rise in LHA rates has enabled i4B to increase its acquisition price caps. As at end of August 2024, 26 properties have been acquired and these property sizes range between one to five bed properties, meaning i4B has exceeded its target for the year and is on track to acquire a total of 40 properties in the year. Negotiations are in progress for additional properties in the borough. i4B continues to be

self-financing and the current portfolio results in an annual saving in excess of £4m in Temporary Accommodation costs. Whilst building and new acquisitions would not solely resolve the homelessness crisis, the Council is doing everting within its powers and the funding available to source new supply. Any new supply would help to avoid additional housing costs and mitigate the risk of the projected overspend increasing.

# **Supported Exempt Accommodation**

- 3.6.15 In addition, the supported exempt accommodation properties are leading to growing financial pressures on the Council and present a new budgetary risk for 2024/25. This is because providers are not constrained by the LHA caps like other landlords and are able to charge a higher rent once they justify that they are providing support. The amount of Housing Benefits subsidy is dependent on the Rent Officer decision and is awarded based on the claim related rent. This is a national challenge as there is a need for better regulation around the agreed criteria that a provider should meet to be considered as a Supported Exempt Accommodation provider and further clarity on what constitutes as minimal care would enable a universal approach when considering an individual's support needs. In Brent, the resulting subsidy loss to the Council is forecast to be in the region of £5m -£9m for the year. Historically, this has not been a significant budget pressure for the Council and has been managed corporately within Central Budgets. At this stage an overspend of £5m is forecast while further work investigative work takes place.
- 3.6.16 A dedicated working group has been set up within the Council and its work aims to minimise the opportunities for exploitative landlords to join the Supported Exempt Accommodation market by introducing a clear strategy on the Council's mechanisms to review landlords that enter this market and those already established. Reviews will also be carried out to consider the individual's support care needs and to verify whether the provider is providing the appropriate amount of care.

# Savings and Slippages

3.6.17 A total of £1.5m in savings is planned to be delivered from the department's budgets in 2024/25, including a £0.4m housing saving deferred from the previous financial year. The main savings are expected from service transformations, restructures and digital projects. Considering the overall pressures on the Housing service, there is a risk that the £0.4m saving will not be achieved in the current financial year due to slippages against the delivery of this saving, however it is anticipated that any slippages will be managed by the department through one-off in year measures.

# **Summary of Key Assumptions**

Key	Downside if	Upside if	Mitigations
Assumption	worse	better	
The additional	Each person	Faster	The service is
number of	costs on average	progress on	focusing on
homeless	£360 per week to	homeless	moving homeless
people can be	accommodate,	pathways or	clients along the
managed within	therefore any	any decrease	various
the existing forecast.	further increases in demand would	in demand will reduce	pathways.
	result in a circa	expenditure by	Various project
	£0.1m per	£350 per week	workstreams are
	quarter for every	per person.	focusing on
	20 people.		sourcing
			additional
			housing supply to
			alleviate some of
			the pressures.
Rent collection	A 5% worsening	A 5%	Collection rates
rates for the	in the collection	improvement	are being closely
Housing Needs	rate will cost	in the	monitored and
service will not	£0.4m.	collection rate	investigations
fall below the		will recover	into the drivers
anticipated		£0.4m.	for the
level.			movements in
			the collection
			rates are
			ongoing.
Other inflation	A 3% increase in	A 3% cost	The department
linked costs can	costs above	reduction in	continues looking
be contained	budgetary	costs would	for best way to
within existing	assumptions	result in a	achieve value for
budgets.	could cost an	circa £0.2m	money, utilising
	additional £0.2m	saving for the	the most efficient
	per annum	year.	procurement and
		-	service delivery
			options and
			negotiations.

#### 3.7 Central items

#### **Collection Fund – Council Tax**

3.7.1 The net collectible amount for Council Tax for 2024/25 (after exemptions, discounts and Council Tax Support) as at 31 August 2024 is £217.1m (£216.9m at 31 May). As at the end of August 2024, the amount collected was 43.3%, a decrease of 1.7% when compared to the in year target and equal to the amount collected in the same period in 2023/24.

- 3.7.2 At the meeting of General Purposes Committee in December 2023, the decision was taken to increase the long-term collection rate for budget setting back to the pre-pandemic target of 97.5%. The target had previously been reduced to 97.0% in December 2021 to account for anticipated increase in uncollectable debts arising from the acute economic impact of the Covid-19 pandemic and the resulting recession. The decision to reverse this reduction was taken on the basis that with all Covid-19 restrictions having been lifted, it could be expected that collection would return to the prepandemic average. Increasing the long-term collection rate resulted in an increase to the tax base used for budget setting purposes, which in turn resulted in an increased Council Tax income used to fund the 2024/25 budget.
- 3.7.3 In 2023/24, by 31 March 2024 only 92.2% of the net collectable debit for 2023/24 had been collected, 1.8% below the 94% in year target and 2.1% lower than the equivalent figure for 2022/23. Based on the collection in the year to date, a similar level of collection can be expected at the end of 2024/25, indicating that the issues that have been negatively affecting the collection of Council Tax are continuing. The most prominent of these impacts is likely to be the ongoing cost-of-living crisis, which has been exacerbated in Brent by levels of unemployment above national and London averages.
- 3.7.4 Furthermore, analysis of the trends in the Council Tax collection over several years has shown that the collection has been falling since before the Covid-19 pandemic, meaning that the short-term shocks have only accelerated the longer-term trend.
- 3.7.5 The Revenue and Debt service are currently utilising external resources to enable a deeper analysis of the outstanding Council Tax debts and the reasons for non-payment. The analysis will also enable the service to segment the debts based on the likelihood of collection, ensuring that appropriate actions can be taken which maximise the collection within the internal resources that the Council has available.
- 3.7.6 The results of this work and the effect that it has on the long-term forecast or Council Tax collection will determine whether it is possible to continue with the current long term collection target for setting the 2025/26 budget. Current Medium Term Financial Strategy assumption is for a 97.5% long term Council Tax collection for all years. The current low in year collection does not necessarily mean that the target needs to be reduced and every effort will be made by the Council to achieve this target if possible. However, if it is necessary to reduce the target, this will reduce the funding available to the General Fund for 2025/26 by approximately £0.9m for every 0.5% reduction.

- 3.7.7 In the current uncertain funding environment for local government there is potential significant risk to the Council's financial resilience. Any budget gap arising from reduced expectations for collection will have to be met either from reserves in the short term or from additional budget savings. The Council has already committed to a challenging programme of savings across 2024/25 and 2025/26 and the reserves have been depleted in recent years due to high inflation and demographic pressures.
- 3.7.8 Recent years have seen some of the pressure from the reduction in Council Tax collection be mitigated by higher than average tax base growth, which has been driven by the regeneration work ongoing within the borough. Based on the homes we have delivered to date and those expected to complete within our pipeline, the Council will exceed our target to deliver 1000 homes by the end of 2024. Brent also received the highest level of New Homes Bonus in 2023/24 at £7.9m as a result of increasing the supply of homes more than any other Council in the UK. With interest rates at their highest level for over a decade and expected to remain high, it is not yet clear what effect (if any) this will have on the building of new homes in Brent. Work will continue in the coming months to produce a more accurate forecast for the growth in the Council Tax base, and this will feed into the budget setting for 2025/26.

#### **Collection Fund – Business Rates**

- 3.7.9 The budgeted net collectable amount for Business Rates (NNDR) for 2023/24 is £140.1m (after exemptions, reliefs and discounts). This was based on the forecast used for the NNDR1 form in January 2024 and has increased by 11.6% from £125.5m in 2023/24. This increase is largely the result of a £10.5m reduction in the transitional relief provided to businesses (£1.7m, down from £12.2m in 2023/24), which deferred the increase to their business rates resulting from the revaluation of all non-domestic properties as at 1 April 2023.
- 3.7.10 The actual net collectible amount for NNDR as at 31 August 2024 is £135.5m, a reduction of £4.6m from the budget in January 2024. This is mostly due to additional empty properties for which relief is given to the businesses. Further adjustments to this may occur during the year due to increases or reductions in the number of non-domestic properties and successful appeals against rateable values.
- 3.7.11 Any movement in the net collectible amount for NNDR does not directly affect the General Fund as the overall resources that the Council receives from the Business Rates retention system are determined in the Local Government Finance Settlement. However, where the actual income to the Collection Fund is different to the budget, Brent's share of the resulting surplus or deficit estimated in January is distributed to or from the General Fund in the following

- financial year. The reduction in business rates income resulting from the additional empty property relief is an unfunded relief, meaning that this will result in a deficit that will affect the 2025/26 budget.
- 3.7.12 As at 31 August 2024, the amount collected was 43.7%, which is 3.06% below the amount collected in the same period in 2023/24 (46.78%). This is partly due to delays with the payment of a small number of large liabilities, which should be resolved in the coming months.
- 3.7.13 Furthermore, there remains a number of factors present in the economy, which could have a negative impact on the ability of businesses to pay their Business Rates, such as high interest rates and the reduction in consumer spending power as a result of the ongoing cost-of-living crisis. Work is underway to review the NNDR collection rates and determine if the future trend is one of continued growth, or if the aforementioned economic factors will result in a new drop in collection rates.

#### Savings

3.7.14 The 2024/25 budget, agreed at Full Council on 29 February 2024, included an £8.0m savings target, of which £4.5m had been agreed in February 2023. Appendix A sets out the progress in delivery against this savings target and any mitigating actions. Of the savings for 2024/25, at quarter 2, 96% of these are on track to be delivered, which equates to delivering £7.7m of the £8.0m budgeted savings required.

#### **Virements**

3.7.15 The table below shows the virements which have been entered to adjust the budgets at Corporate Directorate level during 2023/24.

	2024/25 Opening Budget	In-year growth	Transfer of functions between services	Technical Adjustments	2024/25 In- Year Budget at 31.07.2024
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Community,					
Health and					
Wellbeing	147.2	10.1	0.0	(1.0)	156.4
Children and					
Young People	85.8	4.6	0.0	0.7	91.0
Neighbourhoods					
and Regeneration	26.0	0.0	2.4	1.1	29.4
Partnerships,					
Housing and					
Resident Services	43.1	0.1	(2.4)	(2.0)	38.8
Law and					
Governance	12.6	0.1	0.0	1.1	13.8

Finance and Resources	17.6	0.0	0.0	(0.2)	17.3
Central Items	(332.2)	(14.9)	0.0	0.3	(346.8)
Total Budget	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

- 3.7.16 In year growth items are budget movements from the Central Items budget to Departmental budgets which were not actioned at the start of the financial year. The table above includes the following in year growth items added between April and July 2024:
  - Allocation of confirmed growth requirement for Concessionary Fares (£3.1m)
  - Temporary funding for recurring budget pressures in Community, Health
     Wellbeing (£6.9m) prior to 2025/26 growth.
  - Allocation of confirmed growth requirement for Children & Young People (£2.8m)
  - Temporary funding for deferred savings to 2025/26 following review of the service (£1.8m)
  - Temporary funding for additional IT equipment (£0.1m)
  - Permanent funding for increase to salary budget in Law & Governance (£0.1m)
- 3.7.17 <u>Transfers of functions between services</u> are budget movements between Corporate Directorates, which occur when a department is moved from one service to the other. The virement ensures that the department and the related budget remain together. The table above includes the following transfer of functions between services items added between April and July 2024:
  - Transfer of services from Partnerships, Housing & Resident Services to Neighborhoods & Regeneration following Council restructure in April 2024 (£2.4m)
- 3.7.18 <u>Technical adjustments</u> are budget movements resulting from either events which are provided for in the MTFS, but only confirmed during the year (e.g. pay award), or budget movements resulting from changes to processes (e.g. centralisation of budgets). The table above includes the following technical adjustment items added between April and July 2024:
  - Allocation of funding for Climate Change and Cost-of-Living support from the Borough Plan budget (£0.5m)
  - Reduction of Public Health expenditure budget to match grant income (-£0.3m)
  - Reduction to Public Health salaries budget following change to recharge process (-£0.4m)
  - Movements between departments as a result of the Council restructure in April 2024
  - Transfer of positions between departments

#### 4.0 Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG)

Funding Blocks	Overall DSG Funding 2024/25	Forecast Expenditure	Overspend/ (Underspend)	
	£m	£m	£m	
Schools Block	121.6	121.6	0.0	
High Needs Block	77.0	77.3	0.3	
Early Years Block	35.2	35.3	0.1	
Central Block	2.1	2.1	0.0	
Total DSG	235.9	236.3	0.4	

#### **Summary**

- 4.1 The DSG forecast is reflecting a deficit of £0.4m, against grant funds of £235.9m for 2024/25, due to £0.3m pressures from High Needs (HN) Block and £0.1m from Early Years (EY) Block. As reported in Quarter 1, the forecast assumes that the other funding blocks will achieve a balanced budget by the end of the financial year. This position is likely to change over the next two quarters, as more information becomes available.
- 4.2 The EY Block is projecting an overspend of £0.1m. This is mainly resulting from the DfE's in year adjustment to the EY Block funding in July 2024, following the completion of the January 2024 census which confirmed the actual childcare hours Brent was set to receive for the current financial year. It is worth noting that this position is likely to change over the next few months as payments to childcare providers for the autumn term would determine if there has been an increase in the number of take up hours for EY provision from September 2024. In addition to this, due to changes in EY funding from September 2024 working parents of 9 months 3 years old can receive up to 15 hours a week free education and childcare, which will also have an impact on the projected overspend.
- 4.3 Although the HN Block allocation increased by £2.3m in 2024/25, the number of children with Education, Health, and Care plans (EHCPs) has continued to rise, therefore adding continued pressure against the HN Block from growing demand. The HN budget, including the proportion allocated to academies, is £77.0m. This allocation includes a £1.4m transfer from the Schools Block. The HN Block funding is expected to be adjusted for a recoupment of funds for school place funding for Brent pupils in other local authority areas and for a place funding repayment from other local authorities, following a recent import/export review by the DfE in July 2024.
- 4.4 The cumulative DSG deficit brought forward from 2023/24 is £13.2m, this included an in -year surplus of £0.6m achieved in 2023/24. The deficit carried forward since 2022/23 has been disclosed as an earmarked unusable reserve

in line with DfE regulations (the School and Early Years Finance (England) Regulations 2023). The regulations state that the deficit must be carried forward and held separately from in year surpluses, to be funded from future years' funding and/or recovery plans agreed with the DfE. The overall forecast will increase the DSG deficit to £13.6m by the end of this financial year.

#### **Forecast**

- 4.5 The £0.3m deficit against the HN Block is an increase from the break-even position reported in Quarter 1. This is mainly due to an increase in the forecast spend on top-up funding to out-borough mainstream schools and academies and independent Special schools.
- 4.6 The growth in EHCPs is a London and national trend whereby the number of children assessed as meeting the threshold for support continues to increase. However, the HN funding has not increased in line with the growth in overall pupil numbers creating financial pressures. At the end of July 2024, there were 3763 EHCPs, which represents a growth of 12% compared to July 2023 with 3363 EHCPs.

The forecast position is due to the following pressures:

- £1.2m forecast pressures against top-up funding paid to out-borough academies and mainstream stream schools and Independent Special schools due to increased number of pupils with special educational needs placed within the borough.
- The pressure is further offset by a £0.8m forecast underspend against SEN Services and support being provided for inclusion services. This forecast is likely to change by year end as activity is subject to the actual number of pupils excluded from mainstream schools during the year, following enrolments from September 2024.
- 4.7 The Council has a High Needs Block Deficit Recovery Management Plan in place with longer-term actions to recover the deficit. A task group led by the Corporate Director of CYP and the Corporate Director of Finance coordinates and monitors these actions. Some of these actions to reduce costs include; managing demand for EHCPs through adopting a graduated approach framework, improving sufficiency of places through increasing the amount of special provision within the borough, particularly for secondary phase pupils and 16–25-year-old SEND students and financial management to identify efficiencies and charging an administrative cost to ensure that there is full cost recovery from other local authorities that place pupils in Brent Special Schools. A combination of these longer-term recovery actions and anticipated funding increases is expected to achieve continued reduction in the deficit.

4.8 In 2022/23 Brent participated in the DfE programme called Delivering Better Value (DBV) in SEND, to provide dedicated support and funding to help local authorities reform their high needs systems. The first phase of the programme included a comprehensive diagnostic to identify root cause cost drivers and mitigating solutions or reforms and support in developing a quality assured Management Plan and the opportunity to bid for a £1m grant to deliver the actions in the Management Plan. Brent was successful and has received £1m funding over two financial years 2023/24 and 2024/25. The programme is in its test and learn phase with specific project workstreams being implemented and monitored along with key performance indicators designed to ensure that actions are tracked. The DBV programme will not address the historic deficit but changes that will be embedded as a result of the programme will be aimed at reducing future spend. The current Management Plan and efficiencies identified from the programme may allow funds to be released to address historic deficits.

#### **Risk and Uncertainties**

- 4.9 A balanced budget has been set for the HN Block but there remains a risk that the number of children and young people with Education Health and Care Plans (EHCPs) will continue to grow above forecast levels. The growth in EHCPs is a national and London wide trend whereby the number of children assessed as meeting the threshold for support continues to increase. However, the HN Block funding has not increased in line with continued growth. Over the years, this has created financial pressures with many authorities holding deficit balances. The HN Block received a 3.5% increase in funding for 2024/25, however the risk remains that this increase may not be sufficient to cover the costs of further increases in EHCP numbers and increases from providers for high inflationary costs.
- 4.10 The financial year 2022/23 was the final year of the statutory override set out in the School and Early Years Finance Regulations 2021 which required local authorities to either carry forward any cumulative DSG deficit to set against the DSG in the next funding period of carry forward some or all the deficit to the funding period after that. The government has now extended the arrangement for another three financial years from 2023/24 to 2025/26. There remains the risk that the local authority would then be required to absorb any accumulated deficit from the DSG by using General Fund reserves.

#### 5.0 Housing Revenue Account (HRA)

HRA gross income and expenditure							
Budget Forecast Overspend/ (Underspend)							
	£m £m £m						
HRA	HRA						
Income	(65.9)	(65.9)	0.0				
<b>Expenditure</b> 65.9 65.9 0.0							
Total	0.0	0.0	0.0				

# Summary

- 5.1 Budgets for the Housing Management function are contained within the ringfenced Housing Revenue Account (HRA), which has a balanced budget for 2024/25 made up of £65.9m of income matched by expenditure.
- The HRA is forecasting a break-even position, unchanged from the Quarter 1 forecast. However, based on the analysis of last years' activity and spend on repairs, there is a potential pressure on these budgets in 2024/25 that could be circa £2m due to continued levels of demand to address disrepairs, complex repairs and damp and mould related works. If these responsive repairs related pressures materialise, overspends will be mitigated through in year savings and efficiencies.

#### Risks and uncertainties

- 5.3 High levels of uncertainty around the inflation and interest rates pose a financial risk to the HRA. This impacts the cost of materials and repairs and the cost of new build contracts. In addition, an increase in service requests relating to damp and mould and repairs in general is likely to put additional pressure on budgets.
- Other pressures involve the capital programme as there is currently insufficient government funding having been made available to meet environmental priorities and requirements such as carbon reduction works to homes.
- Government rent policy currently allows for CPI+1 inflation on rents up to March 2026 and could be subject to policy changes depending on the next government. Rent setting uncertainties add to the difficulties in financial planning and budgeting for improvements and building new homes.
- 5.6 These risks are being continuously monitored and reflected in the HRA Business Plan and the Council's Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS).

#### 6.0 Capital Programme

The capital programme as at Q2, forecasts expenditure to be £36.9m less than the revised budget for the financial year. This is split between £39.3m of budgets that are forecast to slip into next financial year and £2.2m of budget overspends in the current 2024/25 financial year. The budgets have been updated compared to Q1 in two key areas: first a £2.9m increase in the Corporate Landlord budget approved at the 9th of September 2024 Cabinet meeting to meet critical additional capital improvement costs on corporate properties with the bulk of it relating to the Civic Centre. Secondly is the utilisation of contingency Regeneration budgets for the Wembley Housing Zone to meet upward revisions to cost estimates of the Ujima House development. Further explanations for the variances are provided below.

Directorate	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Current Forecast	FY Variance		
				£m	£m	£m
	£m	£m	£m	(Underspend)/ Overspend/	(Slippage)/ Brought Forward	Variance Total
Corporate Landlord	14.2	17.2	16.3	(0.1)	(0.8)	(0.9)
Housing GF	59.8	62.7	61.4	0.6	(1.9)	(1.3)
Housing HRA	57.3	53.7	52.2	1.9	(3.4)	(1.5)
PRS I4B	46.3	46.2	20.5	0.0	(25.7)	(25.7)
Public Realm	23.8	25.4	24.5	0.5	(1.4)	(0.9)
Regeneration	64.7	65.8	64.3	(0.6)	(1.0)	(1.4)
Schools	24.7	25.5	20.1	(0.4)	(5.0)	(5.4)
South Kilburn	27.2	33.4	33.7	0.3	0.0	0.3
St Raphael's	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	318.3	330.4	293.5	2.2	(39.3)	(36.9)

# **Corporate Landlord (Capital)**

6.2 Corporate Landlord, which is made up of the Civic Centre, Digital Strategy, ICT and Libraries, is forecast to underspend by £1m. This is predominately due to slippage of £0.7m in anticipated agency costs for Digital Strategy. This has been revised downwards based on the expenditure trend to date. There is also a £0.2m projected underspend relating to budgets created to enable more flexible working and funds sets aside to support energy efficiency improvements on corporate buildings.

#### Risks and Uncertainties - Corporate Landlord

Ongoing works to the Civic Centre such as complex roofing works and improvements to the lifts could experience higher spending than budgeted for and will be monitored throughout the year. At the 9th of September Cabinet meeting an additional budget of £8.7m was approved to cover critical major repairs predominately at the Civic Centre for the next 3 years which will help mitigate some of these risks, but additional costs are expected to emerge as more condition surveys are completed on other non-housing Council properties and assets.

# **Housing General Fund (Capital)**

6.4 The Housing General Fund as at Q2 is forecasting to spend £1.3m less than the revised budget for 2024/25 of £62.7m. The variance consists of net slippage of £.1.9m and an actual forecast overspend of £0.6m. The slippage is made up of £3m forecast slippage on the Church End development project as the project start on site was later than initially assumed during budget setting. This is offset by accelerated spend on the Edgware Road project with an expected advance spend of £1.2m of future budgets in current financial year. £0.6m of actual overspends on budgets are reported in Q2 in two areas; £0.3m on the Preston Park mixed development relating to higher than budgeted library fit-out costs and Northwich Park spine road project which is being delivered in partnership with the hospital, university, and Sovereign Homes. The estimated project overspend of £0.4m on the Northwick Park project is primarily driven by adverse movements in the cost to deliver utility infrastructure and unforeseen ground conditions. It is anticipated that the additional costs on the Northwick Park will be recovered by the Council's partners, but the timing of the recovery is uncertain hence the overspend forecast. The Preston Park project is funded by SCIL and the Northwick Park by borrowing while the Council takes steps to recover the additional costs from its partners.

#### **Housing HRA (Capital)**

6.5 Housing HRA is forecast to underspend by £1.5m compared to the revised budget, this splits between £3.4m of budgets expected to slip into next financial year and £1.9m of overspends on projects. Five Tower Blocks project is the main contributor to the slippage with £1m of the slippage attributed to it and a result of delays to the project from longer planning application processes than anticipated. Remaining slippages relate to various pipeline schemes that are not progressing as expected due to viability issues. These are offset by overspends of £0.7m relating to energy efficiency works within the Major Repairs Programme and other minor overspends spread across several major repair projects. The overspends in the current year are being offset by underspends from the slipping capital projects, however over the medium term it will be managed within the

affordability limits determined from the HRA business planning process.

# Risk and Uncertainties - Housing

- While cost inflation has slowed down in recent months, the recent rises are baked into current costs while additional cost pressures have also emerged from recent updates to fire safety regulations and the resulting need for redesigns. These and the high-interest rate environment continue to pose viability challenges. The construction industry is also seeing an increase in the number of contractors and suppliers going into administration, which is affecting competition in the sector and therefore contract costs. To help mitigate the impact of these costs on Council capital budgets, officers have commenced an exercise to review and improve the procurement of development schemes across the Council for the New Council's Homes Programme. The main aim is to strengthen the commercial position of the Council when procuring development schemes to improve the financial returns of the Council from these schemes.
- 6.7 The Council continues to face substantial supply pressures for Temporary Accommodation and has several schemes in the pipeline to help increase supply. Delivery of these pipeline schemes is increasingly becoming challenging due to viability issues brought about by recent high cost of construction and related supply chain issues. To mitigate this, the on-going acquisition programme to increase the supply of temporary accommodation through the Council's subsidiary I4B is expected to continue in 2024/25. The Council has also secured funding under the government's Local Authority Housing Fund (LAHF) programme to increase supply in the borough to help tackle the supply pressures for temporary accommodation. Additionally, the Council is also exploring introducing other tenure types into its development programme to create cross subsidy for social housing units while also increasing supply of homes in the borough.

#### **PRS I4B and First Wave Housing**

6.8 At Q2, I4B Private Sector Acquisitions is reporting to slip £25.7m of its allocated budget spend into next financial year. The current year's budget is composed of a loan facility for I4B to be drawn subject to them presenting a viable property acquisition programme.

#### Risk and Uncertainties - PRS I4B and First Wave Housing

6.9 I4B and First Wave Housing are collaborating with the Council to shape their future acquisition strategy and assess the availability of loan funding.

#### Risk and Uncertainties - St Raphael's

As at Q2, St Raphael's is forecast to spend £0.5m in line with £0.5m 24/25 budget, this will consist of £400k improvement to pavements and roads in the estate as well as £150k for additional CCTV equipment agreed with the residents. The next phase will be to instruct the community centre works along with the landscaping enhancement on the estate, once planning award has been secured currently scheduled for October 2024. A notable risk is the future profile of major works scheduled to commence from 2025/26 and beyond, which requires a more realistic assessment. This profile will be established once there is greater clarity around the programming and timing of the works.

#### **Public Realm**

As at Q2, Public Realm projects are forecast to underspend by £0.9m compared to the budget of £25.4m. There are approximately 135 live Public Realm capital projects. The majority of the £1.4m slippage reported in Q2 relate to delays for the following schemes; £0.8m on several Healthy Neighbourhoods schemes aiming to reduce traffic to improve air quality (e.g. Roe Green, Forty Lane, Watford Road and Hillside) – these have slipped due to reduced Healthy Streets staffing; £0.3m Gladstone Park tennis courts and sports pitches upgrades which have not yet been contracted; £0.3m waste bins, funded by the Council, which was delayed due to new DEFRA legislation. The Council continues to use sacks whilst further government advice is sought. The £1.4m underspends due to slippages are offset by the £0.5m of overspends in the 24/25 budget. These are the road potholes and carriageways resurfacing programmes (£0.4m, funded by Department for Transport) and the Brent School Streets development (£0.1m).

#### Risk and Uncertainties - Public Realm

6.12 The reduction in grant funding for TFL has resulted in a smaller scope of work to deliver the Local Implementation Plan. The long-term programme is being developed in recognition of this reduced level of funding to ensure the impact of the funding received is maximised. In addition, whilst we wait for further advice on the new DEFRA legislation and residents use sacks for household waste rather than bins.

# Regeneration

6.13 The Regeneration programme budget is forecast to underspend by £1.4m compared to the current year budget of £65.8m. Most of the current year's budget is allocated to the Wembley Housing Zone. The underspend consists of £1m of budget slipped into next financial year on the Picture Palace and Bridge Park projects. For Picture Palace, due to delays in confirming the position of the operation of the building, the contract was awarded later than planned. The contractor is now on site and the project is expected to

complete in summer 2025. For Bridge Park, the Council is working with GMH (the developer) to finalise the masterplan prior to beginning pre-planning consultation later this year. The UK Shared Prosperity Fund (UKSPF) works programme budget has reduced by £0.5m. £0.3m of capital costs have been reclassified to revenue grant funded sources and the remaining £0.2m was already part of the Picture Palace works budget. Underspends on the Morland Gardens project relate to budgets that are no longer required following the decision to pause the scheme and review all delivery options for the site.

#### **Risk and Uncertainties - Regeneration**

The capital regeneration and development projects are subject to various risks and uncertainties. These include land and planning risks, and increased cost from new health and safety regulations and recent high build costs all potentially leading to delays and impacting scheme viability. The Wembley Housing Zone contingency budget was applied in Q2 to mitigate cost uncertainties. These will be monitored throughout the year and updated accordingly.

#### **Schools**

As at Q2, the Schools Capital Programme board is forecast to underspend by £5.4m. This consists of £5m forecast slippage in Q2, largely due to £4.3m of the budget for the SEND expansion programme slipping into future years due to an unsuccessful procurement process that has resulting in the scheme budget slipping into next financial year. The other £0.4m slippage relates to the Islamia School project which is attributed to delays in agreeing designs. An underspend of £0.4m is forecast in Q2 on staff capitalisation because of these slipped programme activities.

#### Risk and Uncertainties - Schools

6.16 There have been delays to some of the projects within the SEND Capital Programme due to issues such as changes in OFSTED ratings and failed contractor procurement exercises. As a result, the programme could experience volatility in its delivery and costs to deliver due to the delays in starting some of the projects. The capital projects are also impacted on the challenges in the construction industry such as inflation, resource and material availability and contractor administration.

#### **South Kilburn**

6.17 The South Kilburn Capital Programme is forecasting a minor underspend of £0.2m on the current year budget of £33.4m. This relates to the energy

infrastructure work for the site and updated costs of procurement activities in the current year compared to budget.

6.18 The South Kilburn project will deliver over 2,400 homes, of which 50% will be affordable. The forecast for the financial year includes project costs for ongoing projects, acquisition costs for obtaining vacant possession as well as funding for a project to identify the most beneficial way of delivering the remaining phases of the South Kilburn programme. The District Energy Network (DEN) has experienced a delay in delivery, and the option of a temporary energy resource is being investigated.

#### Risk and Uncertainties - South Kilburn

6.19 Viability is a key challenge for the remaining developments within the South Kilburn programme. The Council as a result is exploring other development routes aimed at improving the viability of the future phases and help provide certainty for the programme.

#### **Treasury Management Prudential Indicators**

In line with changes to the Prudential Code in 2021, the performance of the Council's treasury and capital activities against the approved prudential indicator for the year are now reported quarterly within these financial reports to members. Details of the performance against the indicators in the first quarter of the financial year are captured in Appendix B and show the Council to be operating within the limits of the prudential indicators.

#### 7.0 Stakeholder and ward member consultation and engagement

7.1 There are no stakeholder and ward member consultation arising from this report.

#### 8.0 Financial Considerations

8.1 This report sets out the financial forecast for the General Fund revenue budget, the Housing Revenue Account, the Dedicated Schools Grant and the Capital Programme, as at Quarter 2 2024/25. Financial implications of agreeing to this report are included within the forecasts provided.

#### 9.0 Legal Considerations

9.1 The law requires that the council must plan to balance its spending plans against resources to avoid a deficit occurring in any year. Members need to be reasonably satisfied that expenditure is being contained within budget and that the savings for the financial year will be achieved, to ensure that income and expenditure balance (Section 28 Local Government Act 2003: the

council's Financial Regulation 2.3 Revenue Budget Monitoring, Forecasting and Overspends).

- 10.0 Equity, Diversity & Inclusion (EDI) Considerations
- 10.1 There are no EDI considerations arising out of this report.
- 11.0 Climate Change and Environmental Considerations
- 11.1 There are no climate change or environmental considerations arising out of this report.
- 12.0 Human Resources/Property Considerations (if appropriate)
- 12.1 There are no HR or property considerations arising out this report.
- 13.0 Communication Considerations
- 13.1 There are no direct communication considerations arising out of this report.

# Report sign off:

#### Minesh Patel

Corporate Director of Finance and Resources